### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCTJSA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day month year) PCT/SG2004/000073 30.03.2004 02.04.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B24C7/00, B24C1/04, F16K11/074 Applicant GADD, Michael, William This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. □ Basis of the opinion Box No. Ⅱ Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☑ Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer** Name and mailing address of the ISA: European Patent Office Eder, R D-80298 Munich

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7553

Tel +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 apmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

# JC09 Rec'd PCT/PTO 26 SEP 2005 International application No. PCT/SG2004/000073

	Вох	No.	I Basis of the opinion
1.			ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		lang	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following page which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search are Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.			ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ry to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. ty	pe o	of material:
		3 2	a sequence listing
		) t	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fo	rma	t of material:
	C	<b>3</b> i	n written format
		3 i	n computer readable form
	c. tin	ne o	of filing/furnishing:
		3 (	contained in the international application as filed.
		3 f	iled together with the international application in computer readable form.
		] f	urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		has cop	ddition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	tion	al comments:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/SG2004/000073

_	Box No. II	Priority						
1.	The following document has not been furnished:							
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).							
		translation of the ea	rlier appl	lication who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).			
					der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3.	Additional observations, if necessary:							
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement								
1.	Statement							
	Novelty (N	)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21			
	Inventive s	tep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21			
	Industrial a	applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-21			
2.	Citations a	nd explanations						

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V.

- The following document is referred to in this communication:
  D1: WO 02/087827 A (MILLER DONALD STUART) 7 November 2002 (2002-11-07).
- 2 Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a valve for shutting down an abrasive fluid connection between a source of abrasive flow and a cutting nozzle.

In order to shut the valve without excessive abrasion, the inlet port of the valved is provided with means to rinse the valve with clean water prior to shutting the valve.

From this, the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 2 and 12 differs in that the valve includes means to apply a back pressure to the outlet side thereof and the valve is a three way valve.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as how to reduce wear due to excessive pressure applied to the valve body.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) since the prior art documents do not suggest to apply pressure to the outlet side of a valve.